

Thursday, December 5th 1991

Today's Topics:

Re: that Dutch(?)
Popular Mechanics
Mystery Object
Mystery Object distance
Optical SETI summary
Sphinx debated
Estonia news?
300,000 yrs ago, humans in Americas
publication -- Kindred Spirit
Fido/Para net boards in the UK
Re: Belgian UFO
Belgian UFO
Author
The UFO Report
An Interesting Development ...
UFORA moving to Cairns
Schoch's Abstract
Re: The 'Big Picture'

From: usl.edu!pgf9240
Subject: Re: that Dutch(?)
Date: 3 Dec 91 04:35:49 GMT

From: pgf9240@usl.edu (Fraering Philip G)

Looked like German to me... I don't have time to translate it now...

Phil

From: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (John Burke)
Subject: Popular Mechanics
Date: 3 Dec 91 08:22:00 GMT

A while back, I picked up the December '91 issue of _Popular Mechanics_ because its cover story on Top Secret military aircraft caught my eye.

Since then I've seen the article mentioned a couple of times here on ParaNet, although I was surprised at the lack of interest generated by those postings.

The real value of an article such as this is that it provides "manistream" support for the contention that "UFOs are real". Specifically, one of the major hypotheses of ufology is getting some acknowledgment as being correct: the "Secret Military Aircraft" hypothesis. In any future televised debate with Phil Klass, it should be emphasized that his beloved former employer, Aviation Week & Space Technology has acknowledged the reality of ufology's "Secret Military Aircraft" hypothesis, beginning with their 10/1/90 issue. Although no credit has been given to ufology for advancing our knowledge about these "state of the art" vehicles, the popular curiosity about unidentified flying objects is what led to the identification of these vehicles. Both Popular Mechanics and Aviation Week credited "anecdotal reports" as the major source of information on the appearance and performance characteristics of these craft. I was particularly amused by this paragraph from the Popular Mechanics article:

> The vehicles in such anecdotes fall into three categories.
> First, observers have reported several different triangular
> aircraft with wingspans ranging from roughly 60 to 160 ft.
> Second, witnesses have described a high-speed, high-altitude
> vehicle, seen only as a yellow or orange light with a related
> pulsing engine roar. Finally, a black, silent,
> boomerang-shaped vehicle that stretches between 600 and 800 ft.
> across and performs circus-pony maneuvers at airspeeds as low
> as 20 knots. Asked to comment, Air Force Systems Command
> referred the inquiry to a Pentagon-based public affairs
> officer, Capt. Betsy Freeman, who issued this statement: "We
> have no aircraft matching these descriptions."
(reprinted without permission)

Obviously, this issue is a *must* for anyone interested in ufology and more particularly for "field investigators" since the article has some fine illustrations (including one which appeared in Aviation Week). As "boomerang" sightings continue we are going to have a more difficult time trying to rule out "terrestrial origin".

As an aside, I am rather suspicious about the way in which UFO design has paralleled earthly design trends. The older UFO photographs and sketches (such as the McMinnville, Oregon UFO) have an almost "art deco" look. More recent UFOs, as photographed in the Hudson Valley area and in Belgium have a more "Stealth"-y, Bauhaus type of look that is so popular nowadays.

-- John

--

John Burke - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: ASTRO.dnet.ge.com!CARR
Subject: Mystery Object
Date: 3 Dec 91 15:11:26 GMT

From: Paul Carr <CARR@ASTRO.dnet.ge.com>

1991 VG, the so called 'mystery object' will make its closest approach to earth on the morning of 5 December (thursday). It will not be visible to the naked eye, as it is very small - estimated to be about 30 meters.

From: ASTRO.dnet.ge.com!CARR
Subject: Mystery Object distance
Date: 3 Dec 91 15:11:38 GMT

From: Paul Carr <CARR@ASTRO.dnet.ge.com>

I forgot to mention that the distance of closest approach is 288,000 miles - a little further than the moon. The object is predicted to pass south of the Earth - almost directly below Antarctica.

From: ASTRO.dnet.ge.com!CARR
Subject: Optical SETI summary
Date: 3 Dec 91 16:33:07 GMT

From: Paul Carr <CARR@ASTRO.dnet.ge.com>

I have a copy of Stuart Kingsley's summary of his paper on optical SETI. If anyone's interested, mail me and I'll send you a copy.
Send requests to:

Carr@astro.dnet.ge.com

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: Sphinx debated
Date: 4 Dec 91 00:16:48 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

One of my relatives-in-law, Peter Woods, is Assistant Provost at Boston University. He says that the Sphinx's age is under heated debate. Some professors have been defending Schoch's work, and others have been disputing it. Thanks, whoever it was, for posting on the 1992 Science..(etc).. conference where there will be debate.

I have just written Dr. Schoch and provided him my email address, and may also write Anthony West, the egyptologist whose claims Schoch was investigating.

I meant to copy Schoch's Abstract from the San Diego conference but I left the photocopy at home, so I will have to do it tomorrow if I can.

More galactic thoughts from:
Amicitia Subjugat Omnia Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)

David E. Coleman infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740 1-(301)-474-7424

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: Estonia news?
Date: 4 Dec 91 00:17:14 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

Someone posted a Jakarta clipping from the UFO newscipping service, which reported a crashed disc under study by Soviet scientist. Anyone know anything else on this yet? It certainly would be big news. Marina Popovich might be aware of this.

More galactic thoughts from:
Amicitia Subjugat Omnia Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)

David E. Coleman infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740 1-(301)-474-7424

Unknowingly, he picked up a whirly blue throwstone with strange hieroglyphics on the opposite side he didn't see, and he tossed it into the sunlit stream; A note said he had opened a gate to some place indescribable.

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: 300,000 yrs ago, humans in Americas
Date: 4 Dec 91 00:17:28 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

In July or August 1989 a French anthropological or archaeological journal published or reviewed a study by a Brazilian archaeologist/anthropologist concerning remains in northern Brazil dated by thermoluminescence to be 300,000 years old. This came on the heels of a discovery in the Mojave Desert, which was at the time quite controversial, concerning 270,000 year old evidence of human habitation. I have been unable to find the journal, as I got my information from a news periodical I should have photocopied. It was a world news periodical I came across when opening mail at McKelding Library in Dec '89/Jan '90. However, recently I searched through the 1990 art and archaeology abstracts (a chance find, would you believe it?) and found an abstract on the technique of thermoluminescent dating, by Dr. Elisabeth Mateus and a host of others, all at the University of Sao Paulo. The name Dr. Elisabeth Mateus rang a bell and I remembered that the archaeologist/anthropologist whose work I was looking for was a woman from the University of Sao Paulo. An approximate address was given as Univ. Sao Paulo Inst. Fis. and no abbreviation key was evident anywhere in the book. But, I can get zip information (or whatever it is in Brazil) for the Sao Paulo, Brazil address, hopefully from the U of Maryland library. I wrote a letter today requesting information on the finds and subsequent debates, discussions and any followup studies, which I will send once I get the zip. Hopefully she can get me info on both the northern Brazil and Mojave finds.

What is interesting is that California and northern Brazil are some of the few parts of Lemuria and Atlantis (reputedly) which exist above water today. The Peruvian Andes and coastal Carolinas are the only other New World parts, so it is interesting that so far, assuming the finds are legitimate, all two finds predate the Asian-American Bering Strait migrations are at former portions of alleged lost continents.

Hmmm...

More galactic thoughts from:

Amicitia Subjugat Omnia Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)

David E. Coleman infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740 1-(301)-474-7424

Unknowingly, he picked up a whirly blue throwstone with strange hieroglyphics on the opposite side he didn't see, and he tossed it into the sunlit stream; A note said he had opened a gate to some place indescribable.

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: publication -- Kindred Spirit
Date: 4 Dec 91 00:18:39 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

I have asked this before, but does anyone know how I might find the magazine/periodical 'Kindred Spirit'? Ralph Noyes or some other concerned party of the crop circle subject referenced this periodical several times in a MUFON article.

More galactic thoughts from:

Amicitia Subjugat Omnia Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)

David E. Coleman infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740 1-(301)-474-7424

Unknowingly, he picked up a whirly blue throwstone with strange hieroglyphics on the opposite side he didn't see, and he tossed it into the sunlit stream; A note said he had opened a gate to some place indescribable.

From: mrc-crc.ac.uk!sgamble
Subject: Fido/Para net boards in the UK
Date: 4 Dec 91 03:33:09 GMT

From: sgamble@mrc-crc.ac.uk (Steve Gamble x3293)

In a message on 29th November, Robert Traveyan asks about boards in the UK.

BUFORA has been giving consideration to establishing a UFO board in the UK. There is no immediate launch planned but it is really a case of when rather than if we do it.

We would hope to come to some arrangement with Paranet (and possibly other boards) where we would become a local echo.

Steve.

From: Kay.Mclaughlin@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Kay Mclaughlin)
Subject: Re: Belgian UFO
Date: 30 Nov 91 18:23:00 GMT

MC> area. The title is "The UFO wave over Belgium" (in French), and
MC> it is now a top selling book here. The preface is by the French
MC> CNRS scientist Petit, well-known for the fact that his scientific
MC> inspiration is due to aliens (coming from planet UMMO, 15 light
MC> years from us, as you should know). Coincidentally, Dr Petit and
MC> others are publishing at the same time books on the UMMITs.

UMMO? I did read that correctly, did I not? It says UMMO, right.
Am I in a time warp, or what? I HAD NO IDEA that this group was sill
around. I remember reading about this in the 70's.

OK, I dug through my library, there is a chapter about them in "The
Invisible College" by Jacques Vallee (1976, EP Dutton).

Can anyone bring us up to date on their activities?

Regards,
Kay

--

Kay Mclaughlin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Kay.Mclaughlin@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)
Subject: Belgian UFO

Date: 3 Dec 91 15:20:00 GMT

> OK, I dug through my library, there is a chapter about them in "The
> Invisible College" by Jacques Vallee (1976, EP Dutton).

There's a lot on them in Vallee's new _Revelations_.

jbh

--

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Jim.Greenen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Greenen)
Subject: Author
Date: 3 Dec 91 21:01:00 GMT

JS> In a message to Don Ecker <27 Nov 91 08:00> Jim Greenen
JS> wrote:
JS>
JS> JG> Don; saw your show, as usual you did a good job. Maybe a bit on
JS> JG> the conservative side but all and all a jolly good show. I
JS> JG> thought Keith was out in left field and wrote the book to make a
JS> JG> buck.
JS>
JS> Something that Ed Walters was SURELY not thinking of at
JS> all....
JS>
JS>
JS> <grin> There I go again, sorry, Jim. Forget I said it...
JS>
JS> Jim
JS>
JS>
JS> ---
JS> * Origin: XRS/REMOTRON/PARANETsm/SCOTTSDALE, AZ (Quick
JS> 9:1010/100.666)

SURELY----You don't think Ed would have wrote the book for free.
Regardless if the GB is true or false, would you donate your time
and energy to write a book if something on the order of GB happen to
you? I wouldn't, infact if I had what happen to me that Ed claims to
have happen to him, I wouldn't settle for \$200,000.

Look how much Whitley got for his book and it was the most
boring book that I have read.

There I go again, sorry, Jim. ---Grin---

--

Jim Greenen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Jim.Greenen@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Keith Basterfield)
Subject: The UFO Report
Date: 1 Dec 91 22:51:00 GMT

The following clipping comes from the Adelaide "Sunday Mail" 17/11/91.

"Henry Winkler is convinced there are other beings in outer space-and he's not afraid to say so. There's a good reason for the former Fonz's sudden outspokenness. He's just served as co-executive producer of The UFO Report which will air on US TV. And he reckons the program will prove his point. "We have reports from people all over the world," he says."

--
Keith Basterfield - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Keith.Basterfield@f12.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Vladimir.Godic@f7.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Vladimir Godic)
Subject: An Interesting Development ...
Date: 5 Dec 91 07:14:00 GMT

> I predict that this person will either a) never come forward, b)
> will come forward with an alias, or c) will come forward with
> his real name, but will be able to provide nothing in the way of
> evidence, either for his claims or his background.

Jim,
I agree with you on this one. I think we've heard more than enough
about "premier UFO researcher" Wendelle Stevens.

Vlad

--
Vladimir Godic - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Vladimir.Godic@f7.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Vladimir.Godic@f7.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Vladimir Godic)
Subject: UFORA moving to Cairns
Date: 5 Dec 91 07:45:00 GMT

> Good to have you back! Things have been slow in your absence,
> except for a highly skeptical report on the Belgium case (which
> itself must be viewed with skepticism...)

Jim,

Yes IT'S good to be back with you guys. Re the Belgium case, I just read the report on Paranet. Makes you wonder why suddenly such a contradictory report. There's definitely something going on. Hopefully we can find out the truth behind it.

Vlad

--
Vladimir Godic - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Vladimir.Godic@f7.n1040.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: Schoch's Abstract
Date: 4 Dec 91 20:33:49 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

Now, we can get it first hand...

The following is the Sphinx abstract as it appeared in the preview bulletin of the annual meeting of the Geological Society of America in San Diego:

Geological Society of America[,] Abstracts with Programs,
23:5, 1991 Annual Meeting
page A253 under section 'Session 101 Archaeological Geology'
Number of abstract: 'No. 24866' (8 AM, 10-23-1991)

Booth 4 Schoch, Robert M.

REDATING THE GREAT SPHINX OF GIZA, EGYPT
SCHOCH, Robert M., College of Basic Studies, Boston

University, Boston, MA 02215; WEST, John Anthony,
1517 Manorville Road, Saugerties, NY 12477

The Great Sphinx of Giza (Egypt) is generally attributed to the Old Kingdom Pharaoh Chephren ([Khafre], ca. 2500 B.C.); on the basis of a chain of circumstantial evidence, chiefly: a statue of Chephren found in an adjacent temple; questionable, and now effaced, inscription on a later stela (ca. 1450 B.C.); an alleged similarity between the face of the Sphinx and Chephren's face; and the physical proximity of the Sphinx to Chephren's pyramid.

Our geological work suggests that Chephren merely restored the Sphinx. The deep weathering to the body of the Sphinx is not found on well-documented Old Kingdom structures in the immediate vicinity which are cut from the same sequence of limestones. The temples adjacent to the Sphinx are built of limestone coreblocks taken from the ditch quarried out to form the body of the Sphinx. These coreblocks are faced with Aswan granite attributed to Chephren, but the coreblocks were already deeply weathered when the granite facing was originally applied. The first of several the ancient repair campaigns to the weathered body of the Sphinx was done with typical Old Kingdom style masonry, but the core body of the Sphinx was already deeply weathered when this earliest repair work was carried out. Low-energy seismic refraction data collected in April of 1991, and reported here for the first time, records up to 100% deeper weathering in the original floor of the Sphinx enclosure as compared to weathering seen in the identical limestones in an area presumably quarried during Chephren's time in the rear of the Sphinx enclosure. On the basis of this kind of geological evidence we conclude that the Sphinx and its adjacent temples predate Chephren's reign.

More galactic thoughts from:

Amicitia Subjugat Omnia Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)

David E. Coleman infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740 1-(301)-474-7424

Unknowingly, he picked up a whirly blue throwstone with strange hieroglyphics on the opposite side he didn't see, and he tossed it into the sunlit stream; A note said he had opened a gate to some place indescribable.

From: wam.umd.edu!infinity
Subject: Re: The 'Big Picture'
Date: 4 Dec 91 22:43:21 GMT

From: David Elmore Coleman <infinity@wam.umd.edu>

I originally sent the following, plus some further embellishment, to Dan Smith's address, but I have a feeling I cannot send email to FIDONET.ORG addresses from the Unix system here at the University of Maryland, so I have edited my response to Dan and decided to post it back to PARANET, where Dan's posting appeared.

Dan Smith posted a big file requesting everybody's Big Pictures.
I have some comments...

+ 10 to the power of 10 (10^{10}) is the magic number of immensities.
+ Molecules -> Cell -> brain -> people (souls) on Earth -> stars in the
+ Milky Way -->.....

+ The earth has ... provided basic training (boot camp style!) for some
+ 10^{10} souls.

The 10^{10} doesn't seem all that magical to me. Where did you get this thought? Firstly, Cell should go to tissue, then to organ, then to system then body, or just to body. I certainly believe more than 10^{10} souls will have experienced the Earth before the day it dies, don't you? I am sort of confused by this idea. I agree in the theme of recurrent form, where, for example, supercooled helium acts like two separate interpenetrating fluids, that are independent of one another, just like I heard a talk yesterday by an astronomer who believes the core of the Milky Way has two separate material continuums -- matter flowing one direction with high angular momentum, and matter flowing counterclockwise with low angular momentum. You often find the same form, meaning, shape on different levels of observation, or on different scales. But as for a hierarchy that leads to 10^{10} , I can't see that.

+ A devolution facilitated, perhaps, by greater degrees of memory loss
+ at birth, and by greater physical restraint on our paranormal abilities.

I agree. Materialistic thought in itself can degrade the mechanisms that would allow you to see back to the real truth, the spiritual. So, the more materialist we get, the less paranormal faculties we can pass on to future generations.

+ ... cosmological anthropic principle backed up with the holographic
+ metaphor to explain objective reality.

I like a lot of the ideas of the holographic metaphor, and I use aspects of it in my own approach to New Age ontology (=eschatology??). But, especially with stuff like implicate order and explicate order, and even more with the holographic brain and Sheldrake's morphogenetic fields, I think one is stabbing into an unexplored arena and is taking the Earth-based, fragile approach that resembles the physics of the twentieth century. Science constantly has to completely overhaul itself with things like quantum theory and relativity, and now holographic order, and it never seems to get where it needs to go. I would rather be very careful as to what out of the holographic stuff I would support openly, because it is likely to itself be revolutionized a number of times. There is likely to

be a lot missing, and you never know how *much* is missing. I prefer to take physics for its language of math, force and geometry, and then add the nearly wordless wisdom of the truth, which channelers can provide (so long as you find good channel.) An example of this combination is asking channelers what they think of the Missing Mass problem of the universe. This example, however, turns out to be a bit confusing.

- + The strong anthropic principle of physics suggests a teleological
- + omega point where a final singularity of consciousness is the
- + quantum mechanical keystone for the world. This will be the true
- + big bang, against which the astrophysical big bang is only a
- + sort of mirror image.

This *will* be a big bang? What, do you anticipate some event to happen in conjunction with the changes the Earth will go through at the end of the century? I do not personally think there will be some singularity point thingy appearing.

I don't believe that England's megalithica and crop circles relate at all to the 'final days' before the geological changes. Nor do I believe that there were 'spiritual adepts who gave form to our globe through a grid system of sacred site and temples, with Egypt as H.Q. perhaps.' Egypt's sites were erected by Atlanteans fleeing their drowning land but they were erected for themselves, not for the Earth as a whole.

Perhaps the Mayan calendar has come to its end in the coming transition, but as for any information beyond this I cannot see any other of Arguelles interpretations being likely to be exact or even necessarily right. The business of needing 144,000 souls to meditate on the Harmonic convergence is probably wrong, in my opinion. Now, how I disagree on the role you attribute to those crop circles.

In a New Age view, crop circles have been caused by UFOs for centuries, but a chance conglomeration of them (caused naturally by UFOs in the process of changing density or dimension at low altitude) appeared in one area over a short period of time, leading to the sluggish early 1980s research. The interest was noted by the transdimensionals, who have begun experimenting with the patterns to try to make them more and more complex, and more and more convincing to us. The Mandelbrot set is such a good example, and the one at Barbury.

With these two, there are positively only two explanations to *initially* be considered -- hoax or 'paranormal intelligence' -- be the latter extraterrestrial, devic, 'imaginal,' transdimensional, or other. The Barbury formation seems to have shown radiation and cell wall pit effects*(see note below), so 'paranormal intelligence,' is proven.** Likewise, from the cell info plus the complexity, all military-device theories have disappeared. The Mandelbrot, (this one?) was within reason inaccessible to hoaxers. I have said 'paranormal intelligence' because if I said UFOs, scientists and especially skeptics would instantly think I'm saying 'just because it isn't A and it isn't B, it must be jeepers creepers UFOs!' But, paranormal intelligence is certainly a wide enough term and it includes most all possible causes of Barbury Castle aside from the eliminated hoax, natural wind/plasma/anything-you-like, and military-object theories.***

* It matters not whether or not next summer's season investigations reveal a consistent sort of radiation behavior. The character of the radiation may vary depending on what forms are designed in the crop, or which 'intelligence' in particular (i.e alien one or alien two) is producing the formation/agriglyph.

** I will explain in a second.

*** If a skeptic wishes to point out that 'paranormal intelligence' cannot be concluded on account of other possible theories we simply have not thought of, then he/she should realize that the only time scientific people say this is when they concede that the theory they are against is the 'presently favored theory,' just like the Big Bang is the 'presently favored theory' of cosmogony. By this, I mean the theory that should properly dominate any book on the subject.

As for SEWN, I hate the name, but I have myself espoused the same idea. I plan for a Journal of Spiritual Science. If my book gets published, it may be the first thing I do with royalties, aside from self-support. My book actually is a 'Big Picture' but differs some from yours. Chapter 5 provides an ontology/cosmology that seems to prove the existence of consciousness without having to resort to presumptions of holography, implicate ordering, or the arrow of time. I actually prove it four different ways, including a nothing-like-it-thought-of-before expansion of the Anthropic Principle.

More galactic thoughts from:

Amicitia Subjugat Omnia Hweohthte... (Hwe-oath-T)

David E. Coleman infinity@wam.umd.edu
8125 48th Ave, Apt. 612
College Park, MD 20740 1-(301)-474-7424

Unknowingly, he picked up a whirly blue throwstone with strange hieroglyphics on the opposite side he didn't see, and he tossed it into the sunlit stream; A note said he had opened a gate to some place indescribable.

*****To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to*****
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com

For administrative requests (subscriptions, back issues) send to:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara-request
DOMAIN infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
To obtain back issues by anonymous ftp, connect to:

DOMAIN ftp.uiowa.edu (directory /archives/paranet)

Mail to private Paranet/Fidonet addresses from the newsletters:

DOMAIN firstname.lastname@paranet.org
UUCP scicom!paranet.org!firstname.lastname

*****The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter*****